



Dispute Resolution

in 49 jurisdictions worldwide

2014

Contributing editor: Simon Bushell



Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

- Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
- Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC
- Aramis
- Batliner Gasser
- Bharucha & Partners
- Braddell Brothers LLP
- Cárdenas & Cárdenas Abogados
- Charles Adams Ritchie & Duckworth
- Cruz Marcelo & Tenefrancia
- Dillon Eustace
- DJBW
- ELIG, Attorneys-at-Law
- Galadari Advocates & Legal Consultants
- Gan Partnership
- GRATA Law Firm
- GSK Stockmann + Kollegen
- Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque
- Ivanyan & Partners
- King & Wood Mallesons
- Latham & Watkins
- Liedekerke Wolters Waelbroeck Kirkpatrick
- López & Associates Law Firm
- Lund Elmer Sandager
- MacRoberts LLP
- Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone PLC
- Moraes Pitombo Advogados
- Moravčević Vojnovići Partneri in cooperation with Schönherr
- Motieka & Audzevičius
- MS Consultores
- Munari Cavani
- Nagy és Trócsányi Ügyvédi Iroda
- Niedermann Rechtsanwältin
- Norton Rose Fulbright
- Odvetniki Šelih & partnerji, o.p., d.o.o.
- Polenak Law Firm
- Richards, Layton & Finger PA
- Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP
- Soemadipradja & Taher, Advocates
- Sofunde, Osakwe, Ogundipe & Belgore
- Specht Böhm
- SRS – Sociedade Rebelo de Sousa & Advogados Associados, RL
- Tilleke & Gibbins
- TroyGould PC
- Vasil Kisil & Partners
- Werksmans Attorneys
- Woods LLP
- Zamfirescu Racoti & Partners Attorneys at Law

Dispute Resolution 2014

Contributing editor:
Simon Bushell
Latham & Watkins

Getting the Deal Through is delighted to publish the twelfth edition of *Dispute Resolution*, a volume in our series of annual reports, which provide international analysis in key areas of law and policy for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners and business people.

Following the format adopted throughout the series, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the 49 jurisdictions featured. New jurisdictions this year include Ecuador, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, the Philippines, Portugal and the United Arab Emirates.

Every effort has been made to ensure that matters of concern to readers are covered. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers. *Getting the Deal Through* publications are updated annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest print edition or to the online version at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Getting the Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We would also like to extend special thanks to contributing editor Simon Bushell of Latham & Watkins for his continued assistance with this volume.

Getting the Deal Through

London
June 2014

Introduction	3	Denmark	53
Simon Bushell Latham & Watkins		Morten Schwartz Nielsen and David Frølich Lund Elmer Sandager	
Austria	5	Dominican Republic	59
Erhard Böhm and Paul Proksch Specht Böhm		Enmanuel Montás and Yanna Montás MS Consultores	
Belgium	12	Ecuador	64
Joe Sepulchre, Hakim Boularbah and Charlotte Marquet Liedekerke Wolters Waelbroeck Kirkpatrick		Ariel López Jumbo, Daniela Buraye and Paulette Toro López & Associates Law Firm	
Brazil	22	England & Wales	69
João Fabio Azevedo e Azeredo, Renato Duarte Franco de Moraes, Mariel Linda Safdie and Mariana Siqueira Freire Moraes Pitombo Advogados		Simon Bushell and Matthew Evans Latham & Watkins	
Canada – Quebec	26	France	77
James A Woods, Christopher L Richter and Marie-Louise Delisle Woods LLP		Aurélien Condomines, Benjamin May and Nicolas Morelli Aramis	
Cayman Islands	31	Germany	82
David W Collier Charles Adams Ritchie & Duckworth		Karl von Hase GSK Stockmann + Kollegen	
China	37	Hong Kong	88
Huang Tao King & Wood Mallesons		Simon Powell, Eleanor Lam and Viola Jing Latham & Watkins	
Colombia	43	Hungary	94
Alberto Zuleta-Londoño and Juan Camilo Jiménez-Valencia Cárdenas & Cárdenas Abogados		Zoltán Csehi Nagy és Trócsányi Ügyvédi Iroda	
Cyprus	47	India	100
Panayiotis Neocleous and Costas Stamatiou Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC		Vivek Vashi and Zeus Dhanbhoora Bharucha & Partners	
		Indonesia	108
		Nira Nazarudin, Robert Reid and Winotia Ratna Soemadipradja & Taher, Advocates	

Publisher

Gideon Robertson
gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Rachel Nurse
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Business development managers

George Ingledew
george.ingledew@lbresearch.com

Alan Lee
alan.lee@lbresearch.com

Dan White
dan.white@lbresearch.com




Published by
Law Business Research Ltd

87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2014
No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.
First published 2003
Twelfth edition
ISSN 1741-0630

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of June 2014, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

Ireland	115	Portugal	183	Turkey	250
John O’Riordan and Sarah Berkery Dillon Eustace		Maria José de Tavares and Catarina Matos da Cunha SRS – Sociedade Rebelo de Sousa & Advogados Associados, RL		Gönenç Gürkaynak and Ayşın Obruk ELIG, Attorneys-at-Law	
Italy	121	Romania	188	Ukraine	257
Raffaele Cavani, Bruna Alessandra Fossati and Paolo Preda Munari Cavani		Cosmin Vasile Zamfirescu Racoti & Partners Attorneys at Law		Oleksiy Filatov and Pavlo Byelousov Vasil Kisil & Partners	
Japan	128	Russia	193	United Arab Emirates	264
Tetsuro Motoyoshi and Akira Tanaka Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune		Sergey Chuprygin Ivanyan & Partners		Faridah Sarah Galadari Advocates & Legal Consultants	
Kazakhstan	133	Scotland	203	United States – Federal Law	269
Bakhyt Tukulov and Andrey Reshetnikov GRATA Law Firm		Julie Hamilton and Susan Hill MacRoberts LLP		Robert M Abrahams, Robert J Ward and Caitlyn Slovacek Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	
Liechtenstein	138	Serbia	209	United States – California	275
Johannes Gasser and Benedikt König Batliner Gasser		Matija Vojnović and Nataša Lalatović Moravčević Vojnović i Partneri in cooperation with Schönherr		Peter S Selvin TroyGould PC	
Lithuania	144	Singapore	215	United States – Delaware	281
Ramūnas Audzevičius Motieka & Audzevičius		Edmund J Kronenburg and Tan Kok Peng Braddell Brothers LLP		Samuel A Nolen and Robert W Whetzel Richards, Layton & Finger PA	
Macedonia	151	Slovenia	222	United States – Michigan	287
Tatjana Popovski Buloski and Aleksandar Dimic Polenak Law Firm		Gregor Simoniti and Luka Grasselli Odvetniki Šelih & partnerji, o.p., d.o.o.		Frederick A Acomb Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone PLC	
Malaysia	157	South Africa	231	United States – New York	293
Foo Joon Liang Gan Partnership		Des Williams Werksmans Attorneys		Robert M Abrahams, Robert J Ward and Caitlyn Slovacek Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	
Nigeria	165	Switzerland	237	United States – Texas	299
Babajide O Ogundipe and Lateef O Akangbe Sofunde, Osakwe, Ogundipe & Belgore		Marco Niedermann, Robin Grand, Nicolas Herzog and Niccolò Gozzi Niedermann Rechtsanwälte		William D Wood, Kevin O’Gorman and Matthew A Dekovich Norton Rose Fulbright	
Philippines	170	Thailand	244	Venezuela	307
Simeon V Marcelo Cruz Marcelo & Tenefrancia		Thawat Damsa-ard and Noppramart Thammateeradaycho Tilleke & Gibbins		Carlos Dominguez Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque	
Poland	177				
Anna Herman DJBW					

Serbia

Matija Vojnović and Nataša Lalatović

Moravčević Vojnović i Partneri in cooperation with Schönherr

Litigation

1 What is the structure of the civil court system?

Serbia is a civil law country, and its entire court network includes 159 courts. Courts in Serbia are first divided by jurisdiction, and there are courts of general and special jurisdiction. Within this classification, jurisdiction is further determined by, inter alia, the type of dispute, the parties, the value of the dispute and the penalty in criminal proceedings.

Courts of general jurisdiction are:

- basic courts (66 courts) – courts of first instance for criminal offences where the penalty is a fine and imprisonment of up to 10 years, civil law matters, labour disputes, enforcement and certain non-contentious proceedings, international legal assistance, etc;
- higher courts (25 courts) – act both as appellate courts for decisions of basic courts in certain matters, and as a first-instance courts for criminal offences where the penalty is imprisonment of more than 10 years, proceedings against juveniles, copyright, labour disputes in respect of strikes, collective bargaining agreements, civil law matters if the value of the dispute allows for revision of the claim, which is at least €100,000, etc;
- appellate courts (four courts) – appellate courts for decisions of basic courts (when the jurisdiction of the higher court is not established) and higher courts; and
- the Supreme Court of Cassation – has trial jurisdiction (deciding on extraordinary remedies, conflict of jurisdictions of lower courts, etc), and out-of-trial jurisdiction (issuing legal opinions to unify court practice, reviewing the implementation of laws and regulations and work of the courts, etc).

Courts of special jurisdiction are:

- commercial courts (16 courts) – first-instance courts in all matters in respect of business activities, including commercial and corporate matters, insolvency, enforcement, and also copyright, recognition and enforcement of foreign court and arbitral awards;
- the Commercial Appellate Court (one court) – appellate court for commercial courts;
- magistrates' courts (44 courts) – first-instance courts deciding on misdemeanours if an administrative body does not have jurisdiction, as well as deciding on appeals on decisions of administrative bodies in misdemeanour procedures;
- the Magistrates' Appellate Court (one court) – appellate court for magistrates' courts; and
- the Administrative Court (one court) – deciding on administrative disputes.

2 What is the role of the judge and the jury in civil proceedings?

In Serbia, judges and lay judges participate in civil proceedings, and there is no jury. First-instance civil proceedings are conducted by a

single judge unless the law specifically requires that the court sits in panel. In that case, the panel will consist of one judge and two lay judges. In second-instance proceedings, the court always sits in panel.

A judge's position is permanent, except for persons appointed to the position for the first time. In that case, the judge is appointed for a period of three years by the National Assembly on the proposal of the High Judicial Council. Appointments of judges on a permanent basis and appointments of lay judges are made by the High Judicial Council.

On the one hand, the role of the judge in a civil proceeding can be regarded as passive, since the court can decide only within the limits of claims filed by the parties. A judge can only review evidence and determine those facts and circumstances presented by the parties. On the other hand, a judge is the one in charge of the process, and in this respect has an inquisitorial role. The judge sets the timetable, and can subpoena witnesses to appear in court or order the parties to furnish certain documents. The judge can also sometimes appoint an expert even in the absence of a proposal from the parties. The judge is entitled to determine if parties are filing claims that they are not entitled to, if this transpires from the outcome of discussions and evidentiary proceedings. Parties may not file claims that are contrary to mandatory rules, public policy, morality and good custom. Judges are also required to inform the parties about the possibility of judicial settlement.

3 What are the time limits for bringing civil claims?

The time limits for bringing civil claims will depend on the nature of a dispute, and these are statutory limits that cannot be altered by agreement. Filing of the claim or acknowledgment of debt interrupts the statute of limitation. As a general rule, claims become time-barred after a period of 10 years. However, claims for damages, for example, become time-barred after a period of three years from the time a party learned of the damage and the person who caused it, and in any event after a period of five years from the time the damage was caused. Claims of legal entities under sale of goods and supply of services contracts expire after three years. This period runs separately for each supply of goods or services provided. Monetary claims from employment relationships become unenforceable after three years. Once a claim becomes time-barred, it cannot be enforced before the courts. However, this is something the courts do not observe *ex officio*, but only when an objection is raised by a party.

4 Are there any pre-action considerations the parties should take into account?

Serbia's legal system does not provide for discovery, and in that respect there are no obligatory steps before filing a claim. The situation is somewhat different if one intends to file a claim against the Republic of Serbia. In that case, a party is obliged to contact the Public Attorney's Office with a proposal for amicable dispute

resolution. The party can initiate proceedings only if the Public Attorney rejects the proposal or fails to respond within 60 days.

Regarding pre-trial considerations in general, a party will be required to present all arguments, facts and evidence at the preparatory hearing (or at the first main hearing, if a preparatory hearing is not held). The introduction of new evidence is allowed at a later stage only if the party can demonstrate the likelihood that it was not able to present such evidence through no fault of its own. Therefore, it is advisable to evaluate the facts of a case and available evidence, and discuss it thoroughly with a lawyer prior to filing a claim.

5 How are civil proceedings commenced? How and when are the parties to the proceedings notified of their commencement?

A civil proceeding is commenced by filing a claim. The claim must fulfil certain formal requirements: clear indication of the court, the parties and their addresses, the relief sought, the facts on which the claim is based and evidence for these facts, if necessary. A claim can be filed, and other actions in the proceeding can be taken by a party in person or through its representative.

The court notifies a defendant by serving the claim together with an order to submit a statement of defence within 30 days. The claim must be served personally to a defendant who is a natural person, to an address of his or her residence, and to legal entities, to the address of their registered seat.

Litigation commences from the moment the defendant is notified of the dispute.

6 What is the typical procedure and timetable for a civil claim?

The court first carries out a preliminary examination of the claim. This includes checking whether the claim fulfils all formal requirements, if it is complete and understandable, whether the court has jurisdiction, etc. If all requirements are met, and within 15 days of receipt of the claim, the court will serve the claim to the defendant together with a request to submit a statement of defence within 30 days. In urgent cases, or when it is necessary to decide on interim measures, the court may simultaneously serve the claim and schedule a hearing. The court must schedule and hold a preparatory hearing within 30 days of the day of delivery of statement of defence to the plaintiff.

In a preparatory hearing (or at the first main hearing, if a preparatory hearing is not held), the parties must propose a procedural time frame. Based on the parties' proposal and the volume of evidence that needs to be presented, the judge adopts the timetable for that case. This decision should set the number of hearings, dates (exact or approximate), the procedural schedule, court deadlines and the length of the entire procedure. If it is necessary to postpone hearings, the judge must issue a new timetable, which cannot be longer than one-third of the initially determined timetable. Parties are required to file submissions no later than 15 days prior to the scheduled hearings. The judge rules immediately upon finalisation of the evidentiary process and conclusion of the main hearing, while in more complex cases a ruling can be rendered within eight days.

7 Can the parties control the procedure and the timetable?

The proceeding is controlled by the judge. Prior to adoption of the new Civil Procedure Act in February 2012, parties had a wide range of possibilities to engage in dilatory tactics and abuse the proceedings, such as postponing the hearings, filing lengthy submissions minutes before the hearing and avoidance of service. Under the new Act, parties can influence the procedure by proposing the timetable, but it is the judge who finally decides and adopts it and who is obliged to ensure compliance with it (failure to comply is grounds for the initiation of disciplinary measures against the judge). Even though the introduction of the fixed timetable was meant to prevent

unnecessary delaying during the course of a proceeding, in practice the hearings are still often postponed and rescheduled.

8 Is there a duty to preserve documents and other evidence pending trial? Must parties share relevant documents (including those unhelpful to their case)?

No. However, if there is a risk that certain evidence cannot be presented in future, or that the presentation of such will be significantly more difficult at a later stage, parties can motion the court asking for evidence to be presented even before a hearing has commenced.

If one party, in supporting its claim, refers to a document stating that the document is held by the other party, the court will call on that party to provide this document. A party cannot refuse to furnish the document if this is a document that the party itself invokes or, if it is a document that a party, *ex lege*, must provide or show, that such document is, by its contents, of a mutual nature for the parties. For other documents that a party can refuse to provide, see question 9. The onus is on the court, and it is at its discretion to evaluate the importance of the fact that a party refused to furnish a certain document.

9 Are any documents privileged? Would advice from an in-house lawyer (whether local or foreign) also be privileged?

A party and witness can refuse to provide information it obtained in confidence as a representative of a party; as a religious confession; and as a lawyer, doctor or other professional if there is a duty of keeping professional secrets.

A party may refuse to provide information if this would result in severe shame, significant monetary damage or criminal prosecution (of him or herself, or of certain of his or her circle of immediate relatives or his or her spouse).

10 Do parties exchange written evidence from witnesses and experts prior to trial?

No.

11 How is evidence presented at trial? Do witnesses and experts give oral evidence?

The courts make decisions on the basis of oral, direct and public discussion. The court decides which evidence shall be presented in hearing. Parties will typically prove facts by submitting documents, calling witnesses, and requesting expert opinions and investigations.

Witnesses must appear in person before the court and give testimony at the oral hearing. New legislation provides that the court can decide to accept affidavit evidence from a witness, or that a witness be examined by conference call or by audiovisual means. However, a judge can still at any time decide to subpoena the witness to confirm its statement in person. Expert witnesses prepare written opinions that are delivered to parties for comment, and if necessary they are later called to discuss their findings.

12 What interim remedies are available?

Courts can order interim remedies before, during and after the court or administrative proceeding. There are two types of interim remedies: preliminary injunctions for securing monetary claims and preliminary injunctions for securing non-monetary claims.

Preliminary injunctions for securing monetary claims can be ordered if a plaintiff made probable its claim and there is a danger the respondent could sell, conceal or otherwise dispose of its assets, thus making collection of the claim impossible or significantly hindering it. Such measures include freezing orders on bank accounts or a prohibition of the disposal of the defendant's assets, including real estate.

Preliminary injunctions for securing non-monetary claims can be ordered if a plaintiff demonstrated the likelihood of its claim, and the danger that fulfilment of the claim will be impossible or significantly hindered. A preliminary injunction may also be ordered if a plaintiff demonstrates the likelihood that such measure could prevent significant damage or the use of force. Such measures include prohibition of disposal or encumbrance of the defendant's assets, including real estate, and an order to the defendant to desist from actions that could cause damage to the plaintiff.

13 What substantive remedies are available?

A party can request performance (such as delivery of goods or payment of damages) or a declaratory judgment that determines the existence or non-existence of a certain right, legal relationship or violation of personal rights, or the truthfulness of a certain document or constitutive judgment that creates, amends or terminates a legal relationship. A debtor that is late in performing a monetary obligation owes statutory interest in addition to the principal amount. Punitive damages are not available.

14 What means of enforcement are available?

A party can seek compulsory enforcement in court on the basis of enforceable or authentic documents. Enforcement of monetary claims may include the sale of moveable and immovable property, freezing of the defendant's bank accounts, sale of shares in legal entities and assignment of monetary claims. Enforcement of non-monetary claims may include the handing over of moveable and immovable property, specific performance, enforcing decisions from family relationships, returning an employee to work and registering the creditors' rights in public registers.

In addition to judicial enforcement, the new Enforcement and Security Act of 2011 provides for enforcing claims through licensed private enforcers (bailiffs). The first bailiffs started working in the first half of 2012. The system is still developing; not all of the bailiff positions have been filled, and in some situations, creditors still more frequently opt for judicial enforcement.

15 Are court hearings held in public? Are court documents available to the public?

Court hearings are public. A court can exclude the public for the entire or part of a court hearing on national security grounds, public order and morality, in order to protect the interests of juveniles or privacy of participants in the procedure. The court can also exclude the public if it is not possible to ensure order or avoid disturbances. A review of case files (submissions, witness statements, orders, etc) will be allowed by the judge only to a third party who submits a written request and who shows justified legal interest in the proceeding.

16 Does the court have power to order costs?

Court judgments regularly include decisions on litigation costs. Litigation costs include court fees (which are determined by court tariffs), legal fees (courts recognise only legal fees as determined in attorneys' tariffs, although these costs are in practice often higher), witness travel costs and salary lost by witnesses and experts, costs for expert opinions, and other expenses incurred during or in relation to the proceedings. However, the court will take into consideration only costs that were necessary for conducting the process.

Each party temporarily bears its own costs and costs caused by its actions. The losing party must reimburse the other party for its costs. If a party partially succeeds in the process, the court can order that each party bear its own costs or that costs be divided on a pro rata basis.

17 Are 'no win, no fee' agreements, or other types of contingency or conditional fee arrangements between lawyers and their clients, available to parties? May parties bring proceedings using third-party funding? If so, may the third party take a share of any proceeds of the claim? May a party to litigation share its risk with a third party?

Generally, any sale of a disputed right is allowed. However, any agreement pursuant to which a lawyer would buy a disputed right the realisation of which he or she was entrusted with, or arranged for him or herself to participate in the division of the amount awarded to the client within the proceeding, is null and void.

Under the applicable tariffs, lawyers can agree on a success fee (as a fixed amount or percentage, in any event not to exceed 30 per cent of the value) if this is not contrary to the rule in the previous paragraph regarding the sale of disputed rights, does not affect his or her independence, and is appropriate based on the nature of the dispute. Generally, pure contingency and conditional fees are considered incompatible with the applicable tariff.

18 Is insurance available to cover all or part of a party's legal costs?

Pursuant to the Insurance Act, parties can insure the cost of legal expenses, including court fees, legal fees and other litigation costs. However, this type of insurance is not commonly used in Serbia.

19 May litigants with similar claims bring a form of collective redress? In what circumstances is this permitted?

Class actions in the common law sense are not allowed. A type of remotely similar concept was provided in the Civil Procedure Act, which is a procedure for the protection of collective rights and the interests of citizens. However, the Constitutional Court of Serbia has declared the provisions of the Civil Procedure Act governing this procedure unconstitutional (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia No. 49/2013).

20 On what grounds and in what circumstances can the parties appeal? Is there a right of further appeal?

A first-instance court decision can be appealed within 15 days from the day of receipt of the decision on the following grounds:

- severe violation of rules of civil procedure (eg, a party was not given an opportunity to present its case before the court, the court did not have jurisdiction, the party was denied the right to use its own language);
- erroneous or incomplete determination of facts of the case; and
- erroneous application of the law (the court failed to apply the necessary legal provisions or it applied the wrong provisions).

Extraordinary legal remedies that can be filed against final and binding court decisions are:

- revision (within 30 days of receipt of the judgment if value of the dispute exceeds €100,000 or, in commercial matters, €300,000),
- request for review of the final judgment (available only to the Republic Public Prosecutor); and
- request for retrial (eg, a party was not given an opportunity to present its case before the court, the decision is based on the false testimony of a witness or expert or counterfeit document, a party learned of new facts or was able to use evidence that was not available earlier).

21 What procedures exist for recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments?

A foreign judgment must be recognised by the Serbian courts before it can be enforced. A foreign judgment must be final and binding and enforceable pursuant to the laws of the country of origin.

Reciprocity is a requirement for recognition, and the presumption is that reciprocity exists.

In the recognition procedure, a court will not re-examine the merits of the case. Courts will refuse to recognise a foreign court judgment:

- if it is contrary to the public order of Serbia;
- if there is exclusive jurisdiction of domestic courts;
- if the other party was not granted the right of defence (ie, the party proves that owing to procedural irregularities it was not able to defend itself or there was lack of proper service of process); and
- if there is already a final and binding decision in the same matter between the same parties (rendered by the domestic courts or another foreign court).

The court will stay the recognition procedure if there is a procedure pending regarding the same legal matter before the domestic courts.

22 Are there any procedures for obtaining oral or documentary evidence for use in civil proceedings in other jurisdictions?

Courts are obliged to provide each other legal assistance in litigation proceedings. Domestic courts will provide international legal assistance where provided by law, international agreements and generally accepted rules of international law, and if there is reciprocity in the provision of legal assistance. If there is a doubt regarding reciprocity, the court will seek an opinion from the Ministry of Justice. A request for legal assistance must be sent through diplomatic channels in Serbian or accompanied by a certified translation. Domestic courts provide legal assistance in accordance with the rules of Serbian civil procedure. At the request of a foreign court, a Serbian court can collect evidence under rules of a different procedure, if such procedure is not contrary to public policy.

Arbitration

23 Is the arbitration law based on the UNCITRAL Model Law?

Yes. Arbitration in Serbia was for the first time regulated in a single law in 2006 by the Arbitration Act. This Act is based on the UNCITRAL Model Law, but differs from it in that the Arbitration Act clarifies that the number of arbitrators must be odd, specifies the deadline for parties to appoint arbitrators, and gives parties the option of using the language of the main agreement, the language of the arbitration agreement or the language of the seat of arbitration until the moment the arbitral tribunal chooses the language. The Arbitration Act also provides an additional reason for setting aside an arbitral award, namely, if at a later stage it is determined that the decision was based on a criminal offence – false testimony of a witness or expert, counterfeit documents or a criminal act by arbitrators or parties to the arbitration. The criminal act must be proven by a final and binding court decision.

24 What are the formal requirements for an enforceable arbitration agreement?

The arbitration agreement must be in writing. This requirement shall be deemed fulfilled if:

- it is contained in a document signed by the parties;
- parties exchanged messages through a means of communication that provides written proof of the agreement, regardless of whether the messages are signed;
- the parties to a written agreement made reference to another document that contains an arbitration agreement (such as the general terms and conditions or another agreement) if the purpose of this reference was to make the arbitration agreement an integral part of the contract; and

- one party initiates arbitration in writing and the other side expressly accepts arbitration, in writing or on record from the hearing, as well as if the party participates in the arbitration proceeding and does not object to the existence of the arbitration agreement or the competence of the tribunal.

Parties can agree to defer to arbitration all future disputes or disputes from a particular legal transaction. Furthermore, parties can also agree to resort to arbitration after the dispute has arisen. In addition, the agreement must refer to disputes that are ‘arbitrable’, and must be concluded between parties that have all necessary capacity and qualification for its conclusion. Furthermore, the agreement must not be concluded under the influence of coercion, threat or fraud.

25 If the arbitration agreement and any relevant rules are silent on the matter, how many arbitrators will be appointed and how will they be appointed? Are there restrictions on the right to challenge the appointment of an arbitrator?

Parties are free to choose the number of arbitrators. If they fail to do so, the number of arbitrators shall be determined by the person or institution agreed to by the parties – the ‘appointing authority’. If a permanent arbitral institution administers the proceedings, the appointment of arbitrators shall be made pursuant to its rules. If there is no appointing authority, or if it fails to make the appointment, the number of arbitrators shall be determined by the competent court. In any event, the number of arbitrators must be odd.

Parties can challenge the appointment of an arbitrator solely if there are circumstances that reasonably raise doubts as to his or her independence or impartiality, or if he or she does not fulfil the requirements agreed to by the parties, if any. Parties can also agree on the procedure for challenging the appointment of arbitrators. Parties can challenge the appointment only for reasons that arose or of which the party learned after the arbitrator has already been appointed. If the parties have not agreed otherwise or if they have not chosen a permanent arbitral institution, the challenge can be made within 15 days of the date when the party learned of the appointment or of the reasons for the challenge, and it will be decided by the competent court. Even though the procedure for challenging the arbitrator is in progress, the arbitral tribunal can continue the arbitral proceedings and render its decision.

26 Does the domestic law contain substantive requirements for the procedure to be followed?

The Arbitration Act applies only to arbitral proceedings with their seat in Serbia. Parties must agree on the procedure; if they fail to do so, the arbitral tribunal can conduct the procedure in the manner it deems expedient. However, mandatory provisions cannot be excluded. This includes a main principle that parties to the arbitration are equal. The arbitral tribunal is obliged to allow a party to present its arguments and evidence, as well as to comment on the actions of the other side. Furthermore, parties must receive timely notice of every oral hearing and every meeting of the arbitral tribunal that is held in order to review goods or documents. Each party must receive each and every submission of the other side, and expert opinions or other documents that represent evidence. Witnesses are not required to take an oath, and the tribunal is not allowed to impose procedural measures or fines. However, this should not represent an obstacle to the arbitral tribunal in conducting the procedure, since it is entitled to request the court’s assistance when presenting evidence.

27 On what grounds can the court intervene during an arbitration?

The court can intervene in an arbitral proceeding only in situations provided for in the Arbitration Act:

- to issue interim measures – both in domestic and international arbitrations;

Update and trends

In 2014, we expect another set of amendments to the main procedural acts, including the Civil Procedure Act. The proposals have just entered the National Assembly of Serbia, and the adoption of the amendments can be expected within next few months.

- to appoint arbitrators, if the parties or appointment authority have not done so;
- to rule on the challenge of the arbitrators, if the parties have not chosen a different procedure or if they have not chosen a permanent arbitral institution, typically in ad hoc arbitrations;
- to issue a final judgment on an interlocutory question at the request of one of the parties if the arbitral tribunal is deciding on its jurisdiction as an interlocutory question;
- to assist with collecting evidence, at the request of the arbitral tribunal;
- to deposit the decision of the arbitral tribunal, at the request of a party;
- to decide on the request for annulment of a domestic arbitral award; and
- to recognise and enforce a foreign award.

28 Do arbitrators have powers to grant interim relief?

Yes, if the parties have not agreed otherwise. At the request of a party, an arbitral tribunal can grant any interim relief that it deems necessary based on the subject matter of the dispute. At the same time, it can order the other party to provide appropriate security.

29 When and in what form must the award be delivered?

The Arbitration Act does not specify the time period in which the arbitration award should be delivered. The award must be in writing and signed by the arbitrators. Unless the parties have agreed otherwise, the award should be adopted by a majority of votes, following deliberation by all the arbitrators. A decision will be valid even if it is signed by the majority of arbitrators, but it should have a note that the rest of the arbitrators refused to sign. A dissenting opinion by an arbitrator, if any, shall be delivered together with the award, if so requested by the dissenting arbitrator.

Necessary elements of an award are introduction, disposition, decision on costs, reasoning, and the place and date of the award. Parties can agree to exclude the reasoning part of an award. This part is not mandatory in an award on settlement reached in the proceeding.

The tribunal can issue interim and partial awards. The award can be delivered ex aequo et bono only if expressly agreed to by the parties.

30 On what grounds can an award be appealed to the court?

Arbitral awards are final and binding, and are non-appealable. However, parties are entitled to file a claim for setting aside domestic arbitral awards (an award rendered in an arbitration that is seated in Serbia). A foreign arbitral award cannot be set aside by the courts in Serbia, but it goes through the process of recognition and enforcement.

Grounds for setting aside a domestic award are:

- the arbitration agreement is not valid under the law that the parties have chosen, or under the laws of Serbia if the parties have not chosen the law;
- the party against whom the award was rendered was not properly notified of the appointment of an arbitrator or of the arbitration proceeding, or was in any other way deprived of the possibility to make statements before the tribunal;

- the award resolved a dispute that was not covered by the arbitration agreement, or the award exceeds the limits determined in the arbitration agreement. If the part that exceeds the agreement can be separated from the rest of the award, then only that part of the decision can be annulled;
- the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral procedure were not in accordance with the arbitration agreement or the rules of a permanent arbitral institution that was entrusted with the organisation of the arbitration, unless such agreement is contrary to the statutory provisions of the Arbitration Act; or if the parties have not reached agreement on the composition of the arbitral tribunal and arbitral procedure, and such composition or procedure were not in accordance with the provisions of the Arbitration Act;
- the award is based on the false testimony of a witness or expert, or on a counterfeit document or criminal act of an arbitrator or a party, if these circumstances were proven by a final and binding court judgment;
- the dispute is not 'arbitrable'; or
- the effects of the awards are contrary to Serbian public policy.

31 What procedures exist for enforcement of foreign and domestic awards?

A domestic award has the same legal power and effect as a final and binding domestic court judgment, and it can be enforced in accordance with the Enforcement and Security Act.

A foreign award goes through the recognition and enforcement process. Recognition of an arbitral award can be the subject of an independent proceeding or it can be decided as an interlocutory question in enforcement proceedings. Grounds for refusal of recognition correspond to those in article V of the New York Convention. A decision on recognition of an award can be appealed within 30 days.

Serbia is also a party to the European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration of 1961, the Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes and a number of bilateral investment treaties.

32 Can a successful party recover its costs?

A decision on costs is a mandatory part of an arbitral award. When making such decision, arbitrators should take into consideration all the facts of the case, including the outcome. At the request of the tribunal, parties are obliged to make an advance payment on the costs.

Alternative dispute resolution**33** What types of ADR process are commonly used? Is a particular ADR process popular?

Of the various ADR processes, the most commonly used in Serbia is currently mediation; however, it has still not developed its full potential, and it cannot yet be said that mediation is common in Serbia. The Mediation Act was adopted in 2005 as a basic act regulating the principles and general rules of mediation in disputes regarding property relations between individuals and legal entities. However, it has not proved well received in practice, and the Act has been criticised on many levels. Hence, the Ministry of Justice and State Administration is currently working on a new Mediation Act, which is currently under public discussion; it will be submitted to the Parliament of Serbia in due course. The most discussed provisions are those concerning licensing of mediators, establishment of a central register of mediators and an association of mediators.

The Agency for the Amicable Settlement of Labour Disputes has been particularly active in recent years, rendering final and binding decisions in various individual and collective labour disputes.

34 Is there a requirement for the parties to litigation or arbitration to consider ADR before or during proceedings? Can the court or tribunal compel the parties to participate in an ADR process?

Lack of compulsion is a basic principle for referring to mediation, but in certain specific situations parties could be referred to mediation against their will if the court deems the dispute resolvable by mediation. The court can make this referral after receipt of the response to the claim, or after the preparatory hearing, or at any other time during the proceedings. Mediation can last 30 days, and this deadline can be extended by a court or other competent body at the request

of a mediator or the parties if there are justifiable reasons. Referral from litigation to mediation is possible also by way of an appeal. However, in this case, the consent of the parties is necessary.

Miscellaneous

35 Are there any particularly interesting features of the dispute resolution system not addressed in any of the previous questions?

No.

**moravčević vojnović
and partners**

in cooperation with **schoenherr**

**Matija Vojnović
Nataša Lalatović**

**m.vojnovic@schoenherr.rs
n.lalatovic@schoenherr.rs**

Francuska 27
11000 Belgrade
Serbia

Tel: +381 11 3202 600
Fax: +381 11 3202 610
www.schoenherr.rs

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Annual volumes published on:

- Acquisition Finance
- Advertising & Marketing
- Air Transport
- Anti-Corruption Regulation
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Arbitration
- Asset Recovery
- Banking Regulation
- Cartel Regulation
- Climate Regulation
- Construction
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Data Protection & Privacy
- Debt Capital Markets
- Dispute Resolution
- Domains & Domain Names
- Dominance
- e-Commerce
- Electricity Regulation
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment
- Foreign Investment Review
- Franchise
- Gas Regulation
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- Insurance Litigation
- Intellectual Property & Antitrust
- Investment Treaty Arbitration
- Islamic Finance & Markets
- Labour & Employment
- Licensing
- Life Sciences
- Mediation
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining
- Oil Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pensions & Retirement Plans
- Pharmaceutical Antitrust
- Private Antitrust Litigation
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Product Recall
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Restructuring & Insolvency
- Right of Publicity
- Securities Finance
- Shipbuilding
- Shipping
- Tax Controversy
- Tax on Inbound Investment
- Telecoms and Media
- Trade & Customs
- Trademarks
- Vertical Agreements



For more information or to purchase books, please visit:
www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Strategic Research Partner of the
ABA Section of International Law



**THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012**



Official Partner of the Latin American
Corporate Counsel Association